


Incident Title		Amine Absorber Catastrophic Failure	
Incident Type		Explosion and Fire	
Date		23 rd July 1984	
Country		USA	
Location		Romeoville, IL	
Fatalities		Injuries	Cost
17		22	\$ 515 m (2020) – Ref. 2
Incident Description		<p>An operator working near an LPG amine absorber tower at the Unsaturated Gas Plant (USGP) of a Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) noticed gas escaping from a horizontal crack about 150 mm (6") long at a circumferential weld near the bottom of the vessel and tried to close the main inlet valve. While closing the valve, he noticed the leak rate increasing and immediately initiated evacuation of the area. As the firefighters arrived, the crack propagated rapidly and a large amount of propane/butane was released which ignited and resulted in a massive explosion. The upper 14 m (46 ft) section of the vessel was propelled 1 km (0.6 miles) away where it struck and toppled a 138 kV power transmission tower. The loss of electrical power rendered an electric motor-driven firewater pump inoperable. A fire hydrant barrel was sheared off, causing a further reduction in firewater pressure from the 2 diesel engine-driven firewater pumps that were still operating.</p> <p>The role of the absorber was to remove hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) from a mixed LPG stream by counter-current contacting with a monoethanol amine (MEA) solution at approximately 38 °C (100 °F) and 13.8 barg (200 psig). The vessel was fabricated from killed carbon steel plate (ASTM A516 Gr.70) to the relevant design codes and had been in service since 1970. It was inspected at 2 year intervals. The second course (ring section) of the vessel (above the feed inlet nozzle) had been replaced in 1974 due to hydrogen blistering and an internal monel liner had been added to the bottom head and first course (below the feed inlet nozzle) in 1976 to reduce corrosion.</p>	
			
Incident Analysis		<p>Basic cause was rupture of the absorber vessel due to cracks initiated by sulphide stress corrosion cracking (SSCC) and propagated by stress-oriented hydrogen induced cracking (SOHIC) in the heat affected zone (HAZ) of a repair weld joining a replacement course to the original vessel.</p> <p>Critical factors included: 1) Hydrogen embrittlement significantly reduced the fracture resistance (toughness) of the original steel, 2) A hard microstructure formed in the HAZ of the circumferential weld when the replacement course was installed (no post-weld heat treatment applied), 3) The firewater supply pressure was reduced by explosion damage (escalated severity).</p> <p>Root causes included: 1) Inadequate corrosion control (hydrogen embrittlement), 2) Inadequate hazard awareness (SOHIC), 3) Inadequate weld procedure (absence of bakeout and post-weld heat treatment).</p>	
Lessons Learned		<p>1) Weld procedures should be designed to avoid formation of high hardness microstructures in steels for service in hydrogen-containing environments. 2) PWHT is recommended for all equipment and piping in MEA service regardless of service temperature,</p>	
More Information		<p>1) "Examination of a Pressure Vessel that Ruptured at the Chicago Refinery of the Union Oil Company on July 23, 1984", H. McHenry, T.R. Shives, D.T. Read, J.D. McColskey, C.H. Brady, and P.T. Purtscher, NBSIR 86-3049, National Bureau of Standards, Boulder, CO (1986), 2) "The 100 Largest Losses 1974 – 2019", Marsh Property Risk Consulting Practice, 26th Edition (2020), 3) "Analysis of the Catastrophic Rupture of a Pressure Vessel", T. Siewert, NIST Publications.</p>	
Industry Sector		Process Type	Incident Type
Oil & Gas		Fluid Catalytic Cracking	Explosion & Fire
Equipment Category		Equipment Class	Equipment Type
Mechanical		Vessel	Absorber