

## IChemE medals and prizes

## Junior Sargent Medal nomination form

Deadline for submission 31 October

Person nominated							
Nominee's email address							
Nominee's company/ organisation							
Your name							
Your email address							
Your telephone							
Your company/ organisation							
Compulsory:	Male	Female	Prefer not	to say			
please complete/delete as relevant for nominee	Industry	Academia	Ret	ired			
	Country of residence:						

## Please submit your full nomination on pages two and three.

You should provide all relevant details and links to references can be included. However please note the judging panel will only assess information provided in this form – any prior knowledge is dis-regarded and they will not undergo any additional research.

Your completed nomination form should be sent to medals@icheme.org

The Medals & Prizes Committee will meet in December to select the winners.

## **Privacy Policy**

Information on how IChemE processes data provided can be found at: www.icheme.org/legal

Please check this box to confirm you have read and agree to this policy.















Reasons for nomination.

Please ensure you cover the following specific areas when answering as the nomination will be judged against the criteria as listed below:

- 1. Scientific advance significantly moving the state of the art on a specific topic in Process Systems Engineering, considering its multidisciplinary impact
- 2. Scope and significance demonstrated major impact in terms of application of CAPE methods and tools to solving unsolved challenging problems, possibly contributing to environmental protection, health and well-being of the planet and society
- 3. Significance of impact already widely used within and outside the CAPE-PSE community
- 4. Patents or publications and citations on a specific topic pioneered or advanced a topic; motivated others to follow; established a new area of research, addressing global challenges

new area of research, addressing global challenges						
Be succinct but do include sufficient relevant information for the judges to evaluate the nomination. Judges are not expected to go and research candidates beyond what the nominator has written.						



	1