

Lessons Learned Database

Individual Incident Summary Report



Incident Title		Hydrocracker Reactor Temperature Runaway	
Incident Type		Explosion and Fire	
Date		21 st January 1997	
Country			
Location		Avon (Martinez), CA	Cost
		46	US\$ 22 m (2002) – Ref. 2
Incident Description	Hvdro	crackers convert heavy feeds to ligh	ter, more valuable products (mainly
Incident Description	gasoline and diesel) using a bifunctional catalyst (acid and metal functions) at high pressure and temperature in the presence of excess hydrogen. The main hydrocracking reactions are cracking and hydrogenation (overall highly exothermic). The higher the temperature, the faster the reaction rate. The catalyst is split into multiple fixed beds and cool hydrogen ("quench") gas is injected between the beds to control bed temperatures and reaction resulted in organic nitrogen slip to the 3 hydrocracking reactors, temporarily poisoning the catalyst. The control board operator gradually increased the catalyst bed inlet temperatures to drive off the nitrogen. A few hours later, a temperature excursion was seen in bed 4 of the 5-bed Hydrocracking Reactor #3. Bed 5 inlet temperature rose rapidly. The quench valve initially opened wide but fluctuated because temperature readings were bouncing from zero to normal to high and back. Only 40 of 96 Reactor #3 temperature measurements were routed to the control board screens and a PC-based data logger; the rest were displayed on a field instrument panel at the base of Reactor #3. The data logger had a history of poor reliability, so an operator was sent out to		
	the field panel to check the temperatures. Reactor #3 effluent piping ruptured		
Incident Analysis	and the escaping reaction mixture autoignited causing an explosion and fire.		
	 Critical factors included: 1) The manually-operated depressuring system was not activated when the maximum catalyst bed temperature limit was exceeded, 2) The PC-based data logger was unreliable (not trusted by the operators), 3) The field instrument panel was located below Reactor #3, 4) Time between onset of temperature excursion and pipe rupture was 7 mins. Root causes included: 1) Violation of emergency procedures (failure to activate depressuring system), 2) Inadequate supervision (enforcement of emergency procedures), 3) Human factors (poor design of temperature monitoring system), 4) Inadequate operator training (abnormal operations, emergency procedures), 5) Inadequate process safety management (failure to learn from previous incidents, poor emergency procedure documentation). 		
Lessons Learned	 Automatic depressuring facilities should be provided for hydrocracking reactor systems as bed temperatures can rise at ≥ 50 °C (90 °F) per minute in a runaway scenario leaving insufficient time for reliable operator response. All hydrocracker reactor temperature sensor readings should be displayed in the central control room on the distributed control system (DCS) screens. High temperature alarms anywhere in hydrocracking reactors and immediately upstream or downstream should be designated 'critical' alarms 		
More Information	1) "EPA Chemical Accident Investigation Report". US Environmental		
	 Protection Agency, Report No. EPA 550-R-98-009 (1998). 2) "100 Largest Losses in the Hydrocarbon Industry", Marsh Property Risk Consulting Practice, 20th Edition (2003). 3) "Seven Minutes to Failure – The Tosco Hydrocracker Runaway", R. Abhari and H.L. Tomlinson, IChemE Loss Prevention Bulletin 291 (2023). 		
Industry Sector		Process Type	Incident Type
Oil & Gas		Hydrocracking	Explosion & Fire
Equipment Category		Equipment Class	Equipment Type
iviechanical		Piping	Pipe